UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/562,869  | 04/07/2006  | Walter Fix           | 411000-144          | 6418             |
| CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI, OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO 5 BECKER FARM ROAD |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | MONTALVO, EVA Y     |                  |
| ROSELAND, NJ 07068  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2814                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 11/10/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/562,869      | FIX ET AL.   |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
|                 |              |  |

|   | Eva Y. Montalvo  | 2814   |   |
|---|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence add   | ress                                    |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITI  | ON FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | the same day as filing a No<br>replies: (1) an amendment,<br>al (with appeal fee) in com     | otice of Appeal. To avoid aban<br>affidavit, or other evidence, w<br>pliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request           |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f</li> </ul>  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the<br>D). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) Wh | ne mailing date of the final rejectio  | n.                                      |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for r                                 | amount of the fee. The appropriately originally set in the final Office                            | te extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3  | 7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the   |   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content | sideration and/or search (s<br>v);<br>er form for appeal by mate                             | see NOTE below); rially reducing or simplifying th   |   |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |   |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3 and 8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .   |  | )  | xplanation of                           |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | sufficient reasons why the   | affidavit or other evidence is   | necessary and                           |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde   | er appeal and/or appellant fails   | to provide a                            |
| <ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ul>   |  | ·  |   |
| see continuation sheet.  12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:  | ,  |  | 2224400.                                |
| /Wael M Fahmy/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2814  | Eva Y. Montalvo<br>Examiner, Art Un  | it 2814  |   |
|   |  |  |   |

Continuation of 11: does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

## Applicant argues:

Support for the objected to claim term, the corresponding new drawing figures 5 and 6 and amended specification is in the as filed specification and is not new matter. The specification discloses two separate and distinct embodiments in specification, page 2, lines 29-37 and in page 4, lines 16 et seq.

## Examiner's reply:

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The originally filed specification or drawings do not teach the claimed limitation "where the gate electrode of the charging FET is directly capacitively couple to one of the source/drain electrodes of the charging FET to thereby provide a potential at the gate electrodes of the charging FET solely via the capacitive coupleing." (underline added) Although the specification teaches that the gate electrode of the charging FET is capacitively coupled to a source/drain electrode of the charging FET. This teaching does not exclude the gate electrode of the charging FET from being coupled to other elements in the circuit, to "thereby provide a potential at the gate electrode of the charging FET." Thus, unless otherwise clearly indicated, it does not teach the potential being provided at the gate electrode of the charging FET solely via the capacitive coupling.

All other arguments presented by the applicant have been considered and addressed in a prior Office action.